

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/819,286	03/28/2001	Srinivas Gutta	US 010097	6878
24737 7	590 12/27/2005		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			LAYE, JADE O	
	P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			PAPER NUMBER
	,,		2617	
			DATE MAILED: 12/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/819,286	GUTTA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jade O. Laye	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 Secondary</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Expression.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 27,28,30-34 and 36-40 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

#### DETAILED ACTION

I. Due to newly discovered issues, prosecution of this case has been re-opened.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

II. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 USC § 112 because it is not clear whether Independent Claims 1, 5, 10, 16, and 24 refer to an apparatus or a method. The rationale for this rejection can be found in MPEP 2173.05(p)(II), which states:

"A single claim which claims both an apparatus and the method steps of using the apparatus is indefinite under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. In *Ex parte Lyell*, 17 USPQ2d 1548 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1990), a claim directed to an automatic transmission workstand and the method steps of using it was held to be ambiguous and properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Such claims should also be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 based on the theory that the claim is directed to neither a "process" nor a "machine," but rather embraces or overlaps two different statutory classes of invention set forth in 35 U.S.C. 101 which is drafted so as to set forth the statutory classes of invention in the alternative only. Id. at 1551."

Accordingly, Claims 1, 5, 10, 16, and 24 recite an apparatus comprising a memory and processor. However, the processor then performs steps in a method. Therefore, as discussed under *Ex Parte Lyell*, the referenced claims are rendered indefinite because each claims an apparatus and method steps.

[Note: All claims which depend from said Independent Claims (1-26) are rejected because they depend from rejected Independent Claims 1, 5, 10, 16, and 24.]

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

III. Claims 1, 5, 10, 16, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because neither claim is clearly directed toward a "process" or "machine." The same rationale and text used under the 112 rejection above is applied here as well.

[Note: All claims which depend from said Independent Claims (1-26) are rejected because they depend from rejected Independent Claims 1, 5, 10, 16, and 24.]

### Allowable Subject Matter

IV. Claims 27, 28, 30-34, and 36-40 are hereby allowed. The reasons for allowance are set forth as follows. The prior art of record fails to anticipate or render obvious the language of Claims 27 and 34 which recites:

"...upon completion of selecting the positive examples, determining a particular value of a given feature in feature space, which particular value characterizes a significant subset of the positive examples, the selecting of the set of negative examples being such that a significant subset of the negative examples has a selected value responsive to the particular value of a given feature in feature space."

Application/Control Number: 09/819,286

Art Unit: 2617

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jade O. Laye whose telephone number is (571) 272-7303. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon. 7:30am-4, Tues. 7:30-2, W-Fri. 7:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner: Jade O. Laye

December 21, 2005.

vek srivastava

Page 4